19 38 P14

Department of Excise

OFFICE Board of Rev

STATION Madras

D. 32.13

No. 3446

Dated 5/12/38

DESPATCH

138

Pages in the file 1

(SUBJECT)

Refusing renewing licence - Bellary - Bel

... No. 326 - Pedda Kan

... Appeal ... orders passed.

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

9315

M E M O R A N D U M.

M No.12094-IV/38-1 dated 8th July 1938.

Mines - Prospecting licence - S.No.326 of Janikunta in Bellary Taluk - Appeal against the orders of the Board of Revenue.

Ref:- Petition from Mr. V. Subba Rangiah Chetty dated 24-6-1938.

*****

The Board of Revenue is requested to submit a report on the enclosed petition, together with the records in original connected with this case and those relating to the dispute between Mr. S.S. Guzdar and Mr. Tiffins referred in para 6 of the petition.

2. The Board of Revenue is further requested to stay the order of the Collector granting the licence to Mr. S.S. Guzdar in the present case till the disposal of this appeal.

C. J. PAUL,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (with petition-in original to be returned with reply)

F o r w a r d e d.

(By Order)

Superintendent.

To

The Secretary to Government

(Development Department)

Fort St. George, M a d r a s.

Subject:- Appeal against Board of Revenue - prospecting license Jani Kunta - Bellary District.

The humble appeal of Vankadari Pedda Rangaswami Chetty, son of Venkatasubbayya, merchant and mine owner, residing in Tadpatri, Anantapur District, against the order of the Board of Revenue R.K. No. 2289, dated 3-6-38, Most Respectfully Showeth:-

The facts leading to this appeal are briefly these. The petitioner applied to the Collector of Bellary for a prospecting license over survey No.326 of Jani Kupta in Bellary Taluq, Bellary District, by telegram dated 24-6-1936 sent at 10-30 A.M. Acting on this telegram, the Collector of Bellary asked for further particulars in his letter R.O.C. M.L.A.2/36 dated 7-7-36 The petitioner sent a regular application in the usual form confirming the telegram and furnishing the necessary information called for by the Collector of Bellary. The Collector asked for a deposit of Rs.500/- as security. The petitioner replied by stating that in the Districts of Cuddapah and Kurnool only Rs. 100/- was required as security for prospecting license. On further hearing, the petitioner also undertook to deposit survey charges as well. To the utter surprise of the petitioner he received a communication from the Collector of Bellary L. Dis. M.L.A.2/36 dated 31-8-36 rejecting the application of the petitioner on the ground that one Mr. S.S. Guzdar of Calcutta had already applied for prospecting over the same field and that his application was under consideration.

2. The Collector of Bellary evidently was under the impression that Mr. S.S. Guzdar of Calcutta was a prior applicant and therefore entitled to priority. As a matter of fact, the petitioner's telegram was sent prior to Mr. S.S. Guzdar's application and the Collector to a large extent acted upon the

same. The Collector did not issue any endorsement to the effect that the prior application entitled to priority was already pending before him when he called for further particulars on the petitioner's telegraphic application. But the Collector again held that Mr. Guzdar's application was prior and that the petitioner cannot agitate to reopen the matter (Vide M.L.A.1/36 dated 26-4-38).

3. The petitioner thereupon appealed to the Board of Revenue against the Collector's decision. But unfortunately the Board of Revenue confirmed the decision of the Collector of Bellary.

4. The Board of Revenue and the Collector unfortunately took the view that the telegram sent by the petitioner applying for prospecting license did not amount in Law to an application. But they ought to have seen that the regular application sent subsequently to the telegram related back to the date of the telegram and application became in the eye of the Law a pucca application entitled to every consideration. As a matter of fact the Collector acted upon the telegram as a regular application and called for particulars and he could not otherwise regard the application subsequently.

5. As there was competition between the several people for prospecting license, the petitioner took time by the forelock by sending telegraphic application and following it up by an application in usual form.

6. The petitioner submits that if a different interpretation is placed on construing the telegram, it will lead to unfair dealing and hardship to merchants. As a matter of fact the petitioner begs to point out that in a similar dispute between Mr. S.S. Guzdar himself and another Mr. Tiffins in the Cuddapah District a telegraphic application has been taken to be a regular application in Law.

7. Mr. S.S. Guzdar has not yet had his lease registered and it would be necessary in the interest of justice that

that pending this application, the lease may be held in suspense.

The petitioner therefore prays that the Government would be pleased to call for records and reverse the order of Board and bestow the prospecting license on the petitioner for survey No.326 of Jani Kunta of Bellary Taluk, and keep the lease of Mr. S.S. Guzdar in suspense till the disposal of this appeal.

Dated at Madras this 24th day of June 1938.

(Sd.) V. Subba Rangaiah Chetty,

Agent and Power of attorney holder for appellant, Muddanur, Cuddapah Dist.

97 CMA

Mining - Prospecting licence - (Bellary) - Bellary Taluk - Tamkunta Village - S. No. 326 - Appeal

12 JUL 1938

Read :—

Memo from Govt. Sec. No. 17094 - IV/38-1 dy 8-7-38

Ref. I. No. 3213/38-1 dy 12.7.38

Forwarded to the Collector of Bellary for reports with the connected records.

2. The Collr. is requested to stay the ~~order~~ granting of the lease to ~~Sri~~ M/s. S. S. Gurjar in the present case till the disposal of this appeal.

12/7/38

11.7.38

To the Collr. of Bellary with an appeal petition in original. The returned with reply

12/7/38

Rq. 93545

C.F. 32.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.

*Received*_____ *Registered*_____

BOARD OF REVENUE
26 JUL 1938
MADRAS

From (Name) J.W.Pritchard Esq.,I.C.S.,
(Designation) Collector of
Post Box_____, (Station) ~~Bellary.~~ MADRAS.
*Telegraphic Address*_____

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

Ref.C. *No.* ~~2745/38A1~~ *dated* 23-7-38. 19 .

Sir,

*

Enclosures_____

Spare Copies_____

Mining lease - granted to Mr. S.S.Guzdar of Calcutta - Red oxide - Bellary taluk - S.No. 326 of Janikunta and S.No. 276 of Haraganadona - appeal petition from V. Subba Rangiah Chetty agent of Pedda Rangaswami Chetty - report submitted.

Ref: Board's Ref. 3213/38-1 dated 12-7-1938.

The facts of the case are as follows:-

The application for a mining lease in the prescribed form for Red Oxide of iron in S.No. 326 of Janikunta and S.No. 276 of Haraganadona villages , Bellary taluk, was presented to Mr. T. Bhaskara Rao I.C.S., the then Collector of Bellary by Mr. M. Salaruddin of Cuddapa, agent of S.S. Guzdar of Calcutta on 24-6-1936 and the Collector initialled it with date on the application (vide page 1 of C.F. M L A 1/36). The hour of its receipt was not noted as required by 41 of the Madras Mining Rules. From page 1 of the note file in M L A 1/36 it is however seen that it was presented to the Collector when he attended the office between 11 A.M. and 1 P.M. on 24-6-1936. On the same day a telegram was received from the appellant for Prospecting license for S.No.326 of Janikunta village (vide C.F. page 5 of L.Dis.M L A 2/36) The hour of receipt of the telegram was not noted in this office. The telegram shows that it was received in the Government Telegraph office at 12.14 P.M. and

hence it could not have been delivered earlier than 1 P.M. in this office. The application in the preacribed form was received from the appellant on 26-6-1936. He was asked on 7-7-1936 to rectify certain defects and to furnish the required security. His reply was received on 28-8-1936 and it was rejected in L.Dis. M L A 2/36 dated 31-8-1936 as Mr. S.S. Guzdar was the 1st applicant.

pp 1-2 of (V)
pp 9-11 of (V)
pp 13 and 19 of (V)

3. The appellant put in an application for reconsideration on 14-4-1938, which was also rejected in M L A 1/36 dated 26-4-1938. He appealed to the Board which was rejected in B P No. 2289 Rt. dated 3-6-1938 on the ground that the telegram cannot be considered as an application and that the rules required that the applications should be in the prescribed form.

p 161 of (J)
pp 11-12 of (K)

4. The appeal petition is resubmitted with the following records for reference and return:-

(1) LDis. M L A 2/36 dated 31-8-36 having C.F. pages 1 to 20 and N.F. pages 1 to 4 (V)

(2) M L A 1/36 (C.F. 1 to 174 pages with the exception of pages 9 to 12, 101 to 106, 119, 120, 135 and 136 and (J)

N F pages 1 to 14.

For Collect

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	9/8	
When copied ..	9/8	
When examined..	9/8	
When signed	9/8	
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Mining - Prospecting licence - (Bellary) - Bellary taluk - Tanikamba Vgo - S. No. 326 - Appeal.

DESP 10 AUG 1938

READ AGAIN , dated 19.

" " " 19.

READ *ALSO*:—

No. From the No., dated 19.

" " " " 19.

" " " " 19.

Order / Ms. Resolution Rout. No., dated Ref. D. 3213/38-2 of 6.8.38 19.

p7 cf. para 6.

In an appeal petn preferred to Govt by ~~Sri V. Subba Rayudu Chetti~~ on behalf of Sri V. S. Ranganwami Chetti of Tadpatri against the grant of a prospecting license in Bellary to Mr S.S. Guzdar it is ~~contended that~~ alleged in support of the appellant's contention that his first application in the form of a telegram was in order that in a similar

dispute for mining concessions between Mr. S.S. Guzdar and Mr. Tiffins in the Cuddapah Dt., a telegraphic application was taken to be a regular application. The Collr. of Cuddapah is requested to submit the connected records in original and to state the circumstances in which it was so accepted in the face of the direction in note (1) to rule 15 and note to rule 34 of the Mining Rules — pages 62 and 71 of the Mining Manual.

4/8/38 8/8/38

Has 6/8

The Govt have called for the original records relating to the dispute referred to.

To the Collr. of Cuddapah

Dft P.C to be typed.

Office Copy

ROCEEDINGS / EMORANDUM OF THE Board of Revenue, Madras.

Post Box No. *Telegraphic Address*

Name C.A. Henderson, Esq., C.S.I., V.D., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue & Settlement.
Ref.I. *No.* 3213/38, *dated* 6th August 1938.
2.

Short title Mining - Prospecting licence - (Bellary) - Bellary taluk -

EAD—the following :— Janikunta village - S. No. 326 - Appeal.

REFERENCE:

In an appeal petition preferred to Government on behalf of Sri. V.P. Rangaswami Chetti of Tadpatri against the grant of a prospecting licence in Bellary to Mr. S.S. Guzdar it is alleged in support of the appellant's contention of his first application in the form of a telegram being in order, that in a similar dispute for mining concessions between Mr. S.S. Guzdar and Mr. Tiffins in the Cuddapah district a telegraphic application was taken to be a regular application. The Government have thereupon called for the original records relating to the dispute referred to. The Collector of Cuddapah is requested to submit the connected records in original and if a telegram was actually accepted as a proper application to state the circumstances in which it was so accepted in the face of the direction in note (1) to rule 15 and note to rule 34 of the Mining Rules - pages 62 and 71 of the Mining Manual.

K.I. Krishnan Nayar
Assistant Secretary.

To
The Collector of
Cuddapah.

VSK.8-8.

Forwarded
(By order)

Superintendent.

C.F. 32.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Revenue DEPARTMENT. J4231

Received *Registered*

From (Name) V.S. Hejmadi Esquire, I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of Cuddapah.

Post Box ....., (STATION) Cuddapah.

Telegraphic Address .....

BOARD OF REVENUE 31 AUG 1938 MADRAS

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue and Settlement, Madras.

L.Dis.

No. 4493/1938, *dated* 30th August 19 38.

Sir,

Sub:-Mining-Prospecting licences-Application by telegrams-Acceptance in deciding priority-Report submitted.

Ref:-Board's Ref.No.I.3213/38-2 dated the 6th August 1938.

ENCLOSURES 1 P 13-9

SPARE COPIES

There were no instances in this District, in which a telegram was accepted as a proper application in deciding priority.

There are two cases in which telegrams were received from the parties. One was from Abdul Hye of Bellary on the 1st April 1933 stating that as a formal application had been posted for S.Nos.437/1 and 444/1 of Ippatla(v) in Pulivendla taluk, his priority might be upheld. But as an application had been received from Mr.Guzdar prior to the date on which the telegram was received, for the S.Nos.covered by the application of Abdul Hye, Mr.Guzdar was considered to be the first to have filed the application and Prospecting Licence was granted. In another case Mr.Guzdar sent a telegram on 19-10-33 for S.Nos.759 of Nommanuthala village in Pulivendla taluk. But a formal application was received from the same individual and the matter was disposed of on merits. In neither of the two cases was a telegram treated as a regular application.

Memorandum 30.8.38

R.S.R.33/38. 1143 20.8 For [illegible]

F. No. 5

C.T.16.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	14.9	D.
When copied ..	20/9/38	~~BB~~
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.	22 SEP 1938	

SUBJECT:— Mining - Prospecting licence - (Bellary) Bellary Taluk - Jami Kunta Kge - S. No. 326 - Report submitted.

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ ALSO:—

J. No. 3213/38 From the Govt. R.D. Memo No. 17094-IV-38-1, dated 8-7-1938.

J. 3545/38 " Collr. of Bellary Rpt. " 2945/38 A1 " 23-7-1938 —

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

Order / Resolution No. _____, dated Ref J. No. 3213/38-3 dt 19-9-38 19____.

... submitted to the Govt.

2/. The Board has held that under note (1) to rule (15) and note to rule (34) in chapter VI of the Mining Manual the application to be made in the prescribed form and that a telegram which does not comply with the rule cannot be considered as an application. It submits that it has been reported by the Collector of Cuddapah that there was no instance in the Cuddapah District in which a telegram being accepted as a proper application in deciding priority. A copy of the Collector's letter from the Collr. of Cuddapah

pp 62 & 71 of Mining Manual.

p 15 cf

p 15 cf

enclosed 7/2

3/. The Board submits that the Collr of Bellary has been instructed to stay the grant of the lease to Mr. S.S. Guzdar pending the disposal of the present appeal. p 9 c/f. para 2.

9. C.D. 10/9/38 12/9/38. hinD 12/9/38

To the Secy to Govt Dev. Dept. with the Bellary Collr's (W & L.Dis.) M.L.A 2/36 & 31-0-36 and (2) M.L.A 1/36 to be returned and with a copy of the letter from Collr. of Cuddapah & an appeal petition.

C.D.

p 15 c/f. to be copied & sent.

~~pp~~ pl keep O.C. pp 3-7 c/f.

C.F. 32 (a).

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

Received *Registered* 9547

BOARD OF REVENUE 31 OCT 1938 MADRAS

From (Name) J.Scott Brown Esq.,C.I.E.,I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector

Post Box , (Station) Bellary

Telegraphic Address

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

Ref. *No*2745/38 A.1, *dated* 28th October 1938.

Sir,

Mining lease - Bellary taluk - S.No.326 of Janikunta and S.No. 276 of Haraganadona - for red oxide granted to Mr. S.S. Guzdar - appeal to Government by V. Subba Rangayya Chetty.

ENCLOSURES pay.

SPARE COPIES nil

Ref: 1) Board's Ref.I No.3213/38-1 dated 12-7-38 and
2) this office Ref. 2745/38 A-1 dated 23-7-38.

I request to be informed when the orders of Government on the appeal petition are likely to be passed.

P.S. 29/10.

For Collector.

29/10/38

29/10/38

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

21 C.F. 1.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..	8.11	
When copied ..	8/11/38	
When examined ..	8.11.	
When signed ..		P.S.
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:— Mining - Prospecting licence - (Bellary) - Bellary taluk - Tarikunta Uge - S. No. 326 - ~~Report submitted~~ Appeal.

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO*:—

J.No. 5478/38 From the Coll. of Bellary. Ref No. 2945/38-A1, dated 28-10- 1938. — A to Govt & Records

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

Order / Resolution Mts. / Rout. No. _____, dated Ref. J. No. 3213/38-4 & 7-11-1938.

~~Rp 17-8 Cf.~~

submitted to the Govt in continuation of the Boards Ref J. No. 3213/38-3 & 19-9-38.

4-11-38 Govt/38. has 5/11/38

To the Secy to Govt Rev. Deptt

Rp 17-8 Cf.

forwarded to the Coll of Bellary.

7/11

BOARD OF REVENUE
Received 21 NOV 1938
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Encls 45884
SECY. 21 NOV 1938
23

Received.....1938. Registered.....1938.

Abstract.

Mines - Bellary - Prospecting licence - V. Pedda Rangaswami Chetty, Mineral merchant, Tadpatri - Appeal - Orders passed.

- -

Development Department.

G.O. No. MS. 2871, dated 16th November 1938.

Read:-

Appeal petition from V. Pedda Rangaswami Chetty dated 24th June 1938.
Memo to the Board of Revenue 17094-IV/38-1 dated 8.7.38.
From the Board of Revenue No. Ref.I.3213/38-3 dated 19.9.38.

****

O r d e r :-

The Government agree with the view of the Board of Revenue that an application for a prospecting licence or mining lease should be made in the form prescribed in the Mining Manual and that a telegram which does not comply with the rules cannot be considered a valid application.

2. In the case of Sri V. Pedda Rangaswami Chetty, the appellant, however, they observe that the appellant is a local man and appears to possess the requisite mining experience. They further observe from Board's proceedings No. 2289 dated 3rd June 1938 that Mr. S.S. Gúzdar of Calcutta the other applicant, for S.No. 326 of Janikunta village, Bellary taluk who holds several mining concessions in the Cuddapah district was ~~published~~ penalised by the Board for failure to work the concessions satisfactorily. In the circumstances the Government direct, in virtue of the discretion vested in them under the note to Rule 42 in Chapter VI of the Madras Mining Manual, that the Board's order rejecting the appellant's petition be set aside and that he be granted a prospecting licence over the area in question.

3. The appellant is referred to the Board of Revenue for orders on his petition.

(By order of His Excellency the Governor)

C.J.Paul,

Secretary to Government.

To

The Board of Revenue (with a list* of records returned)

Sri Venkadri Pedda Rangaswami Chetty, Merchant and Mine-owner, Tadpatri, Anantapur District (with a certificate and advice for payment in respect of court fee stamp) - para 3 only.

List of Records

1) Bellary Collector's L.Dis. M.L.A.2/36 dated 31.8.36
2) do. M.L.A. 1/36.

/True copy/

Forwarded.

(By orde

S. Rajaram
Superintendent. 17.11.36

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date:	Initials:
When received for fair copying ..		5/12
When copied ..	7/12	
When examined..	10/12	
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:—

Mining - Prospecting licence - (Bellary) -
Bellary taluk - Tanikunta Vge - S. No. 326
~~Appeal~~ Pedda Rangaswami chetti, Sri. V -
Appeal - Orders passed.

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO*:—

p9cf. J No. 3545/38 From the Collr Bellary Rep C No. 2745/38 A1, dated 28-7- 19 38. — Q
pp23-24 cf. J " 5884/38 " G.O. Ms No. 2871 Rev. Dy 16- 11- 38 " 19____. A
" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____. Q

~~Order~~ Resolution ~~Ms. Roll.~~ No. 344b, dated 5.12.38 19____.

Communicated to the Collr. of Bellary.

2. B.P. Ms. No. 7 Dy 3-1-38 sanctioning the grant of a mining lease for red oxide to Mr. S.S. Guzdar of Calcutta is cancelled. The collr. is requested to grant a prospecting licence over the area in question to the appellant (Sri. V. Pedda Rangaswami chetti).

3. The ~~applicant~~ appellant is referred to the collr of Bellary for orders on his petition to Govt.

2/Jan/38 14.12.38 Cal 2/Jan/38 hm 3/12/38

Dy Secretary

To the collr of Bellary with M.L.A. 2/38 Dy 31-8-38 and M.L.A 1/36 returning 15/12

To Sri. Venkadri Pedda Rangaswami chetti Merchant & Mine Owner, Tadpatri, Anantapur Dt.

1 para 3 only.

Encls read from Secretariat 14.12 IM 14.12

Note file

141
J.S
A.S(Sett)

Mining - Prospecting licence - (Bellary) - Bellary taluk - Tanikunta Vge - S. No. 326 - Appeal.

p1 cf. — I. 32 18/38

p9 cf. — I. 3545/38

p15 cf. — I. 4231/38

A.S(Sett).

Please see the appeal petition to Govt at pp 1-7 cf. against the order of the Board as in P.P. Rl. No. 2289 dt 3-6-38.

pp 11-12 cf. (K)

2/. The Coll's letter at pp 11-12 cf. contains the facts of the case.

3/. The petitioner bases the priority of his claim on his telegram dated 24-6-36 His application in the prescribed form was received by the Coll on on 26-6-36 while Gurudas had already applied on 24-6-36. In the 1st appeal the Board has held that under note (1) to rule (15) and note to rule (34) in Chapter VI of the Mining Manual the application has to be made in the prescribed form and that a telegram cannot be considered as an applicatio It is against this order that the petitione

p 12 cf. 2nd para.

p 11 cf.

pp 11-12 cf. (K)

pp 62 & 71 of Mining Manual

A.

has appealed to Govt. The petitioner states that in a similar dispute between Mr. S.S. Guzdar and Mr. Tiffenn in the Cuddapah Dt a telegraphic application has been taken to be a regular application in law. The Collr. of Cuddapah reports that there ~~were~~ was no instances of this kind in his district. p 7 c/f. para 6. p 15. para 1

In the circumstances the Collry Collr's letter with the connected records may be submitted to the Govt with the remarks as at A on p1 above. They may also be informed that [it is reported that there were no instances in the Cuddapah Dt in which a telegram was accepted as a proper application in deciding priority. A copy of the Cuddapah Collr's letter may also submitted to Govt. pp 11-12 c/f. p 15 c/f.

5/9/38

4/ With reference to paragraph 2 of the Govt Memo at p1 c/f. the Collr has been instructed to stay the granting of the lease to Mr. S.S. Guzdar pending the disposal of the present appeal. This may also be mentioned in the report to Govt para 2 p 2 c/f

5/9/38

2/
4-38 60
3/9/38.

J.S

M-1

As(sett)

As (sett)

Draft submitted.

10.9.38 GD 12/9/38. hw 12/9/38

As(sett) pp 17-18 cf. p 19 cf.

[Issued as BDi Ref. J. No 3213/38-3 dy 19-9-38/ J. 5473/38

As (sett)

Please see the letter from the Colr of Bellary at p 19 cf. draft ~~submitted~~ submitted.

4-11-38 GD 5/11/38. hw 5/11/38 J. S 7/11

115 JS As(sett) p 21 cf. pp 23-24 cf.

[BDi Ref. J. 3213/38-4 dy 7-11-38]

G.O. No. 2871 Rev. dy 16-11-38

As(sett)

Please see the G.O. at pp 23-24 cf.

pg 17-18 cf. (5) Mso. 7 3-1-38

2/. The ~~order dis~~ order sanctioning the lease to Mr. S.S. Geezdar may be Cancelled and the Colr instructed to grant a prospecting licence over the area in question to the appellant (Smi. V. Pedda Rangaswami chetti The appellant may be referred to the Colr for orders on his petition to Govt.

Joint Secy. 3-S cf. 28 NOV 1938

28/11/38 GD 25/11/38 hw 26/11/38 J. S 26/11

US (Sec'tt)

Draft submitted.

P
-38 2/Jan/38 5/12

US (Sec'tt)

J.S
A.S(sett)

A.S (sett)

Pl. see the draft
below which was approved
on 12-9-30. It has to
go to Govt. In reply
to an exchange reminder
they have been informed
that the 'SS' reply is
under issue. The note
file was seen by the
ex-sett Commissioner.
It is for orders whether
the issue of the draft
may be deferred till
the sett Commissioner is
appointed ~~and~~ or
whether the file may
be sent to the L.R.
Commissioner for perusal and (the draft) issued
afterwards.

16.9.30 HW 16/9 J.S
CG/9/30. 16/9
Issue is J.S. C.G.L.R.

Note is for M. Perusal
of the issue.

19/9

Submitted to M1 for perusal
29/9. GB
30/9/30. 1/10

B.P. No. Mi. 3446

Dated 5-12-38

Single Member

Two Members

Full Board

		Asst. Secretary.						
	A.D.S & L.R.		L.R.	Sett.	Sep. Re	J.S. Secy.	M 3	M 2	M 1
I-A.								

---

(1) Open file seen by ✓ ✓ ✓

(2) Finaldraft seen by ✓

(3) Now circulated to

(4) Initials

Passed forrecord

Secretary.

Joint Secretary.

~~A.S. (L.R.)~~

A.S. (Sett.) 21/12

A.S. (Sep. Rev.)

A.D.S. & L.R.

~~I-A.~~ I/A.

Note: ENTRIES NOT REQUIRED TO BE SCORED OUT.